



RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JAN 15 2008

## FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

January 15, 2008

**Receiver:** Central Fax Number/Examiner Michael H. Thaler  
USPTO

**TEL #:**

**FAX #:** 571-273-8300

**Sender:** Dean E. Wolf

**Our Ref. No.:** MSKTP001

**Your Ref:** 10/644,601

**Re:** Response to Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment

**Pages Including Cover Sheet(s):** 8

**FAX CONTENTS:**

Fax Cover Page – 1 page

Response to Notice of Non-Compliant – 7 pages

**MESSAGE:**

---

**CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE**

The information contained in this facsimile (FAX) message is legally privileged and confidential information intended only for the use of the receiver or firm named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended receiver, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this FAX is strictly prohibited. If you have received this FAX in error, please immediately notify the sender at the telephone number provided below and return the original message to the sender at the address below via the United States Postal Service. Thank you.

---

Beyer Weaver LLP - 500 12th Street, Suite 200, Oakland, CA 94607 - Phone 510.663.1100 - Fax 510.663.0920 - www.beyerlaw.com

Cupertino - Minneapolis - Oakland

JAN 15 2008

***IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE***

In re application of: Masket

Attorney Docket No.: MSKTP001

Application No.: 10/644,601

Examiner: Michael H. Thaler

Filed: August 20, 2003

Group: 3731

Title: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR  
PERFORMING AN ACCURATELY SIZED  
AND PLACED ANTERIOR  
CAPSULORHEXIS

**CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION**

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted  
by facsimile to fax number 571-273-8300 to the U.S. Patent  
and Trademark Office on January 15, 2008.

Signed:

  
Mary Terry

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

This amendment is submitted in response to the Communication mailed January 4, 2008 (copy attached) in which the response required by 37 CFR 1.121 was deemed incomplete because there were "no status identifiers for the claims listed." It is believed that this revised response addresses these issues. As such, it should be treated as a complete response to the outstanding office action as required by 37 CFR 1.121.

**Amendments to the Claims** are reflected in the listing of claims which being on page 2 of this paper.

**Remarks** begin on page 5 of this paper.

Application No. 10/644,601

1